

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

RECEIVED  
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

MAY 25 2004

In re Application of Applicants:

Date: May 25, 2004

Ali Afzali-Ardakani et al.

Group Art Unit: 1626

Serial No.: 10/057,024

Examiner: D. C. Lambkin

Filed: January 25, 2002

Docket No.: YOR920000442US2

OFFICIAL

For: SYNTHESIS OF SOLUBLE DERIVATIVES OF SEXITHIOPHENE AND THEIR USE AS  
SEMICONDUCTING CHANNELS IN THIN-FILM FIELD-EFFECT TRANSISTORSCommissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450REMARKS

Sir:

Reconsideration is respectfully requested in view of any changes to the claims and the remarks herein. Please contact the undersigned to conduct a telephone interview in accordance with MPEP 713.01 to resolve any remaining requirements and/or issues prior to sending another Office Action. Relevant portions of MPEP 713.01 are included on the signature page of this amendment.

Claims 1-10 and 19-20 have been rejected under 35 USC 101 for double patenting over prior US Patent No. 6,414,164. Applicants respectfully disagree. No claims under examination in the present application is identical to the claims of US 6,414,164 and thus a double patenting rejection of the non-obvious type is improper. Enclosed is a terminal disclaimer over US 6,414,164.

In view of the changes to the claims and the remarks herein, the Examiner is respectfully requested to reconsider the above-identified application. If the Examiner wishes to discuss the application further, or if additional information would be required, the undersigned will cooperate fully to assist in the prosecution of this application.

Please charge any fee necessary to enter this paper and any previous paper to deposit account 09-0468.

If the above-identified Examiner's Action is a final Action, and if the above-identified application will be abandoned without further action by applicants, applicants file a Notice of Appeal to the Board of Appeals and Interferences appealing the final rejection of the claims in the above-identified Examiner's Action. Please charge deposit account 09-0468 any fee necessary to enter such Notice of Appeal.

In the event that this amendment does not result in allowance of all such claims, the undersigned attorney respectfully requests a telephone interview at the Examiner's earliest convenience.

MPEP 713.01 states in part as follows:

Where the response to a first complete action includes a request for an interview or a telephone consultation to be initiated by the examiner, ... the examiner, as soon as he or she has considered the effect of the response, should grant such request if it appears that the interview or consultation would result in expediting the case to a final action.

Respectfully submitted,

By: 

Dr. Daniel P. Morris, Esq.  
Reg. No. 32,053  
Phone No. (914) 945-3217

IBM Corporation  
Intellectual Property Law Dept.  
P. O. Box 218  
Yorktown Heights, New York 10598